

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Leo Howe, et al.

v.

Civil No. 10-cv-00586-JL

Rocky's Ace Hardware, et al.

ORDER AFTER PRELIMINARY
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The Preliminary Pretrial Conference was held in chambers on **February 25, 2010.**

The Discovery Plan (document no. 9) is approved as submitted, with the following changes:

- Close of discovery - **August 15, 2012**
- Expert discovery

Plaintiff's expert disclosure - **May 1, 2012**

Defendant's expert disclosure - **July 1, 2012**

- Summary judgment deadline - **September 1, 2012**
- Jury trial - **March, 2013**

Based on the discussions between the court and counsel at the conference, the following are **stricken** without prejudice to being reinstated on request if warranted by the evidence:

- the plaintiff's claim for negligent manufacture as against Rocky's. (The court also questions whether the plaintiff's claims for "negligent distribution" and "negligent testing" are cognizable under New Hampshire law, but takes no action at this time.)

- the following affirmative defenses: statute of limitations, failure to join a necessary party

Summary Judgment. The parties and counsel are advised that compliance with Rule 56(e) and Local Rule 7.2(b), regarding evidentiary support for factual assertions, and specification and delineation of material issues of disputed fact, will be required.

Discovery disputes. Discovery disputes will be handled by the undersigned judge, as opposed to the Magistrate Judge, in the normal course. No motion to compel is necessary. The party or counsel seeking discovery-related relief should confer with adverse counsel to choose mutually available dates, and then contact the Deputy Clerk to schedule a conference call with the court. The court will inform counsel and parties what written materials, if any, should be submitted in advance of the conference call.

Customary motions to compel discovery, while disfavored by the undersigned judge, are nonetheless permissible. If counsel

prefer traditional discovery litigation to the conference call procedure set forth above, any such motion to compel should expressly request, in the title of the motion, a referral to the United States Magistrate Judge. Such referral requests will normally be granted. If the Magistrate Judge is recused, alternate arrangements will be made.

SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joe Laplante", written over a horizontal line.

Joseph N. Laplante
United States District Judge

Dated: March 2, 2011

cc: David P. Angueira, Esq.
Christopher J. Poulin, Esq.
James L. Soucy, Esq.